



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,652	01/18/2002	Thomas B. Pritchard	10016350 - 1	9419
7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			MILIA, MARK R	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 272400				
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2625	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,652	PRITCHARD, THOMAS B.	
	Examiner Mark R. Milia	Art Unit 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 29 and 30 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 31 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 3/28/06 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-31 are pending.
2. The declaration filed on 3/28/06 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Ilbery reference (US 2002/0122210).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 3/30/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Particularly, there is no translation of the Japanese Office Action and therefore cannot be considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the current declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 and therefore new ground(s) of rejection will be made.
5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 18-19, filed 3/28/06, with respect to the rejection of claims 29-30 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 29-30 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
7. Claims 1-26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6307978 to Metaxas.

Regarding claim 1, Metaxas discloses a method, comprising: partitioning a group of pixels, corresponding to a group of values, into a plurality of segments, with each of the segments including a plurality of rows of the pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-24), halftoning a first plurality of values, corresponding to a first one of the plurality of rows in a first one of the plurality of segments, to form a first plurality of error terms (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 7-24), and halftoning, using at least one of the first plurality of error terms, on a second plurality of values

corresponding to a second one of the plurality of rows in a second one of the plurality of segments, after completion of the halftoning on the first plurality of values, to form a second plurality of error terms (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24 and 30-47).

Regarding claim 9, Metaxas discloses an apparatus, comprising: a first processing device to perform halftoning on a first set of values corresponding to a first set of pixels (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-6), a second processing device to perform halftoning on a second set of values corresponding to a second set of pixels, with ones of the first set of pixels located adjacent to the second set of pixels and with ones of the second set of pixels located adjacent to the first set of pixels (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-6), and a bus arranged for transferring a third set of values, from the halftoning of ones of the first set of values corresponding to the ones of the first set of pixels, to the second processing device and for transferring a fourth set of values, from the halftoning of ones of second set of values corresponding to the ones of the second set of pixels, to the first processing device (see column 4 lines 2-24 and column 6 lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 31, Metaxas discloses a halftoning apparatus, comprising: first means for halftoning on a first set of values corresponding to a first set of pixels (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-6), second means for halftoning on a second set of values corresponding to a second set of pixels, with ones of the first set of pixels located adjacent to the second set of pixels and with ones of the second set of pixels located adjacent to the first set of pixels (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-6), and means for transferring arranged to transfer a third set of values, from the halftoning of ones of the first set of values corresponding to the ones of the first set of pixels, to the second

means for halftoning and for transferring a fourth set of values, from the halftoning of ones of second set of values corresponding to the ones of the second set of pixels, to the first means for halftoning (see column 4 lines 2-24 and column 6 lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 2, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first one of the plurality of segments and the second one of the plurality of segments include equal numbers of the pixels (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first one of the plurality of segments exists adjacent to the second one of the plurality of segments within an image formed by the plurality of segments (see Figs. 3 and 4) and a boundary at an interface between the first one of the plurality of segments and the second one of the plurality of segments forms a substantially straight line within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4, a substantially straight line exists between the plurality of segments in a diagonal orientation).

Regarding claim 4, Metaxas further discloses wherein the boundary exists substantially perpendicular to the plurality of rows of the pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 5, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first one of the plurality of rows and the second one of the plurality of rows exist in alignment within the image (see Fig. 3 and column 2 lines 23-32).

Regarding claims 6, 10, and 18, Metaxas further discloses wherein the halftoning includes error diffusion halftoning (see Fig. 3, column 3 lines 36-47, and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 7, Metaxas further discloses wherein halftoning of ones of the plurality of rows in the first one of the plurality of segments, other than the first one of the plurality of rows, occurs contemporaneously with halftoning of ones of the plurality of rows in the second one of the plurality of segments, other than the second one of the plurality of rows (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24)

Regarding claim 8, Metaxas further discloses the first one of the plurality of segments includes ones of the pixels included in the second ones of the plurality of segments (see Figs. 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 11, Metaxas further discloses wherein the third set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the first set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), and the fourth set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the second set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 12, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first set of pixels and the second set of pixels each include equal numbers of the pixels, with the first set of pixels and the second set of pixels included within an image (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 2 lines 23-32, and column 4 lines 2-24), and with the ones of the first set of pixels adjacent to the ones of the second set of pixels within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 13, Metaxas further discloses wherein a boundary at an interface between the ones of the first set of pixels and the ones of the second set of pixels forms a substantially straight line within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4, a

substantially straight line exists between the plurality of segments in a diagonal orientation).

Regarding claim 14, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a first plurality of rows forming a first segment of the image (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24) and the second set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a second plurality of rows forming a second segment of the image, with the ones of the first set of pixels forming a first column adjacent to a second column formed from the ones of the second set of pixels, with the boundary at the interface between the first column and the second column (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 15, Metaxas discloses wherein the first plurality of rows includes a first row located on a first edge of the first segment and the second plurality of rows includes a second row located on a second edge of the second segment, with the first row aligned with the second row in the image (see Figs. 3 and 4) and the first processing device includes a configuration to complete the error diffusion halftoning on the first row before the second processing device begins the error diffusion halftoning on the second row (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 16, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first plurality of rows includes a third row located adjacent to the first row in the first segment (see Fig. 4), the second plurality of rows includes a fourth row located adjacent to the second row in the second segment (see Fig. 4), the second processing device includes a configuration to begin the error diffusion halftoning on the second row before the first processing device

completes the error diffusion halftoning on the third row (see Fig. 4), and the first processing device includes a configuration to complete the error diffusion halftoning on the third row before the second processing device begins the error diffusion halftoning on the fourth row (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 17, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first processing device includes a first halftone processor and the second processing device includes a second halftone processor (see Fig. 3, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-6).

Regarding claim 19, Metaxas further discloses a third processing device to perform halftoning on a fifth set of values corresponding to a third set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4), and a fourth processing device to perform halftoning on a sixth set of values corresponding to a fourth set of pixels, with ones of the third set of pixels located adjacent to the fourth set of pixels, with ones of the fourth set of pixels located adjacent to the third set of pixels, and with the bus coupled to the third processing device and the fourth processing device for transferring a seventh set of values, from the halftoning of the ones of the third set of pixels to the fourth processing device, and for transferring an eighth set of values, from the halftoning of the ones of the fourth set of pixels, to the third processing device (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24 and 30-47).

Regarding claim 20, Metaxas further discloses wherein the third set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the first set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), the fourth set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the second set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), the seventh set of

Art Unit: 2625

values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the third set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), and the eighth set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the fourth set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 21, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first set of pixels, the second set of pixels, the third set of pixels, and the fourth set of pixels each include equal numbers of pixels, with the first set of pixels, the second set of pixels, the third set of pixels, and the fourth set of pixels included within an image (see column 2 lines 23-32).

Regarding claim 22, Metaxas further discloses wherein a first boundary formed at a first interface between the ones of the first set of pixels and the ones of the second set of pixels forms a substantially straight line within the image (see Fig. 4) and a second boundary formed at a second interface between the ones of the third set of pixels and the ones of the fourth set of pixels forms the substantially straight line within the image (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 23, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a first plurality of rows forming a first segment (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), the second set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a second plurality of rows forming a second segment, with the ones of the first set of pixels forming a first column adjacent to a second column formed from the ones of the second set of pixels, with the first boundary at the interface between the first column and the second column (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), the

third set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a third plurality of rows forming a third segment (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24), and the fourth set of pixels includes a spatial arrangement into a fourth plurality of rows forming a fourth segment, with the ones of the third set of pixels forming a third column adjacent to a fourth column formed from the ones of the fourth set of pixels, with the second boundary at the interface between the third column and the fourth column (see Figs. 3 and 4 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 24, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first plurality of rows includes a first row located on a first edge of the first segment, the second plurality of rows includes a second row located on a second edge of the second segment, the third plurality of rows includes a third row located on a third edge of the third segment, the fourth plurality of rows includes a fourth row located on a fourth edge of the fourth segment, with the first row, the second row, the second row, the third row, and the fourth row aligned within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 45-47, and column 4 lines 2-24), the first processing device includes a configuration to complete the error diffusion halftoning on the first row before the processing device begins the error diffusion halftoning on the second row (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 45-47, and column 4 lines 2-24), the processing device includes a configuration to complete the error diffusion halftoning on the second row before the processing device begins the error diffusion halftoning on the third row (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 45-47, and column 4 lines 2-24), and the processing device includes a configuration to complete the error diffusion halftoning on the third row before the processing device begins the

error diffusion halftoning on the fourth row (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 45-47, and column 4 lines 2-24).

Regarding claim 25, Metaxas further discloses wherein the first set of pixels includes a third set of pixels and the second set of pixels includes the third set of pixels, with the ones of the first set of pixels located adjacent to the third set of pixels and the ones of the second set of pixels located adjacent to the third set of pixels (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 26, Metaxas further discloses wherein the processing device includes a configuration to transfer a fifth set of values, corresponding to a first subset of pixels of the third set of pixels included within the first set of pixels, to the second processing device using the bus (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-24), the processing device includes a configuration to transfer a sixth set of values, corresponding to a second subset of pixels of third set of pixels included within the second set of pixels, to the first processing device using the bus (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-24), the processing device includes a configuration to perform the error diffusion halftoning on the sixth set of values (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-24), and the processing device includes a configuration to perform the error diffusion halftoning on the fifth set of values (see Fig. 3 and column 4 lines 2-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6870642 to Ostromoukhov in view of Metaxas (US 6307978).

Regarding claim 27, Ostromoukhov discloses an imaging device, comprising: an interface arranged to receive data, corresponding to an image, from a computer (see Figs. 3-5 and column 4 lines 50-65), a processor configured to generate color values, corresponding to pixels forming the image, using the data received from the interface (see column 5 lines 55-60), a processing system arranged to receive the color values and including a processing device to perform halftoning on a first set of values, included in the color values, corresponding to a first set of pixels included in the pixels forming the image to form a first set of halftone values (see column 6 lines 56-67), a processing device to perform halftoning on a second set of values, included in the color values, corresponding to a second set of pixels included in the pixels forming the image to form a second set of halftone values (see column 6 lines 37-67), with ones of the first set of pixels located adjacent to the second set of pixels and with ones of the second set of pixels located adjacent to the first set of pixels (see column 6 lines 37-67), an image forming mechanism configured to form the image using the first set of halftone values and the second set of halftone values (see column 5 lines 4-12), and memory to store the color values, the first set of halftone values, and the second set of halftone values (see column 7 lines 1-3).

Ostromoukhov does not disclose expressly a first processing device and a second processing device and a bus coupling the first processing device and the second processing device for transferring a third set of values from the halftoning of the

ones of the first set of pixels to the second processing device and for transferring a fourth set of values from the halftoning of the ones of the second set of pixels to the first processing device.

Metaxas discloses a first processing device and a second processing device (see column 4 lines 2-6) and a bus coupling the first processing device and the second processing device for transferring a third set of values from the halftoning of the ones of the first set of pixels to the second processing device and for transferring a fourth set of values from the halftoning of the ones of the second set of pixels to the first processing device (see column 4 lines 2-24 and column 6 lines 61-65).

Ostromoukhov & Metaxas are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, halftoning using error diffusion.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine multiple processors and transferring of values, as described by Metaxas, with the system of Ostromoukhov.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a faster and less time consuming process for halftoning images (see column 1 lines 41-46 of Metaxas).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Metaxas with Ostromoukhov to obtain the invention as specified in claim 27.

Regarding claim 28, Metaxas further discloses wherein the halftoning includes error diffusion halftoning (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-

24), the third set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the first set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-24), the fourth set of values includes error terms generated from the error diffusion halftoning of the ones of the second set of pixels (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-24), the first set of pixels and the second set of pixels each include equal numbers of the pixels, with the first set of pixels and the second set of pixels and with the ones of the first set of pixels adjacent to the ones of the second set of pixels within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-24), and a boundary at an interface between the ones of the first set of pixels and the ones of the second set of pixels forms a substantially straight line within the image (see Figs. 3 and 4, column 3 lines 36-38, and column 4 lines 2-24)

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 29 and 30 are allowed.
10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The examiner believes that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the first through fourth halftone processors associated with first through fourth printheads and printhead drivers that transfer error terms obtained by performing error diffusion halftoning between the

first and second processor, the second and third processor, and the third and fourth processor, with the other limitations as set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. To further show the state of the art refer to the attached Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler M. Lamb can be reached at (571) 272-7406. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia
Examiner
Art Unit 2625

MRM



JOSEPH R. POKRZYWA
PRIMARY EXAMINER